EXHIBIT 2

[REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED]

In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation

		Page 1				Page
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	rage	1	UN	TITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	raye
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		2	NORT	HERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		3		SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
4			4			
5	In re TWITTER INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION		5	In re TWITTER I	NC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	
6	This Document Relates To:		6	This Document F	elates To:	
-	ALL ACTIONS.			ALL ACTIONS.		
7	ADD ACTIONS.		7	ADD ACTIONS.		
	Gara Na. 2 16 am 05214 TGE (GV)			C N- 2 16 -	OF 214 TOW (CK)	
8	Case No. 3:16-cv-05314-JST (SK)		8		v-05314-JST (SK)	
	CLASS ACTION			CLASS ACTION		
9	AMENDED NOTICE OF DEPOSITIONS TO NON-PARTIES		9	AMENDED NOTICE	OF DEPOSITIONS TO NON-PARTIES	
.0			10			
1			11			
2			12	Att	orneys' Eyes Only Videotaped	
.3	***ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY***		13	Deposition of A	DAM MESSINGER, Volume I, taken o	on
.4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF		14	behalf of Plair	tiffs, at One Montgomery Street,	, San
.5	ADAM MESSINGER		15	Francisco, Cali	fornia, beginning at 9:07 a.m. a	and
.6	San Francisco, California		16	ending at 4:17	p.m. on Wednesday, February 13,	2019,
7	February 13, 2019		17	_	IPER, Certified Shorthand Report	
.8	VOLUME I		18	No. 11268.	-	
L9			19	-		
20			20			
21			21			
	REPORTED BY:		22			
3	JOHNNA PIPER		23			
	CSR 11268		24			
25	Job No. 10051935		25			
		Page 3				Page
	APPEARANCES:		1		INDEX	
3	For Plaintiffs: Robbins, Geller, Rudman & Dowd, LLP		3	WITNESS Adam Matthew Me	EXAMIN	NATION
5	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900		3	Adam Macchew Me	By Mr. Drosman	9
4	San Diego, California 92101		4		2	
5	(619) 231-1058 ddrosman@rgrdlaw.com		5			
,	By: Daniel S. Drosman, Esq.		6	PLAINTIFFS'	EXHIBITS	PAGE
6			8		nkedIn page for Adam Messinger	42
7	Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff and the Class (via Zoom):		9	Exhibit 221 E-	mail from Lon-1 Loon (12, Poly,	61
8	2001117.				D, VC) to dick@twitter.com	
	Motley Rice LLC		10		ted 3/2/2015, subject: cepted: Operating Committee:	
9	28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464		11		de Red Review (pls prioritize)	
.0	glevin@motleyrice.com				Mon Mar 2, 2015 9:30am -	
	(843) 216-9512		12		:30am (Dick Costolo,	
.1					tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00010634	
2	By: Gregg S. Levin, Esq.		177	Вā		
L2	By: Gregg S. Levin, Esq. For the Defendants and the Witness:		13		mail from Leslie Carroll to	74
	For the Defendants and the Witness:		13	Exhibit 222 E-Op	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated	74
L3	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP		14	Exhibit 222 E- Or 5/	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm	74
L3	For the Defendants and the Witness:			Exhibit 222 E- Op 5/	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1,	74
.3	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012		14	Exhibit 222 E- Op 5/ AG Ba	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm	74
.3	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com		14	Exhibit 222 E-Og 5/AG Battherical Exhibit 223 E-	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, Etes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 Erough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to	74 79
.3	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq.		14 15 16 17	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/ AG Ba th Exhibit 223 E-Op	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 trough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated	
.3 .4 .5	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com		14 15 16	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AG Be th Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 crough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user	
L3 L4 L5 L6	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq.		14 15 16 17	Exhibit 222 E-Or 5/AG Ba th Exhibit 223 E-Or 3/Cr	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 trough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated	
.3 .4 .5 .6	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq.		14 15 16 17	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AG Bath the Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/Ch	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm EENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 brough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user eurn, Bates-stamped	
.3 .4 .5 .6	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq.		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AG Bath the Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/ch TW Exhibit 224 E-e-e-	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm EENDAS: May 26 & June 1, Etes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 Erough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user errurn, Bates-stamped TR_SHEN_00126745 mail string beginning with mail from Anthony Noto to Kevin	79
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Also Present: Michele Lee, Twitter Terry Koelbl, Robbins, Geller, Rudman &		14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AC Bath the Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/ch TW Exhibit 224 E-e-We	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, Etes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 Erough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user eurn, Bates-stamped TTR_SHEN_00126745 mail string beginning with mail from Anthony Noto to Kevin eil, et al., dated 3/14/2015,	79
.3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Michele Lee, Twitter Terry Koelbl, Robbins, Geller, Rudman & Dowd, LLP		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/ AG Ba th Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/ ch TW Exhibit 224 E-e- We st	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm EENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 trough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user turn, Bates-stamped TTR_SHEN_00126745 mail string beginning with mail from Anthony Noto to Kevin til, et al., dated 3/14/2015, bject: Re: Growth metrics for	79
13 14 15 16 17 18	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Also Present: Michele Lee, Twitter Terry Koelbl, Robbins, Geller, Rudman &		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AG Bath the Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/Ch TW Exhibit 224 E-E-We St 20	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm ENDAS: May 26 & June 1, Etes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 Erough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user eurn, Bates-stamped TTR_SHEN_00126745 mail string beginning with mail from Anthony Noto to Kevin eil, et al., dated 3/14/2015,	79
112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	For the Defendants and the Witness: Cooley LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5800 (415) 693-2012 kgoodhart@cooley.com By: Kathleen H. Goodhart, Esq. Laura Elliott, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Rob Paris, Esq. Michele Lee, Twitter Terry Koelbl, Robbins, Geller, Rudman & Dowd, LLP		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 222 E-Op 5/AG Bactle Exhibit 223 E-Op 3/AC CH TW Exhibit 224 E-We Strange Stra	mail from Leslie Carroll to erating Committee dated 25/2015, subject: OpComm EENDAS: May 26 & June 1, tes-stamped TWTR_SHEN_00077648 brough 49 mail from Adam Messinger to erating Committee dated 3/2015, subject: Core user turn, Bates-stamped TR_SHEN_00126745 mail string beginning with mail from Anthony Noto to Kevin cil, et al., dated 3/14/2015, bject: Re: Growth metrics for 15-03-13 (MAUS: 304.55M) with tachment, Bates-stamped TR_SHEN_00227833 through 35	79

Page 237

1 Vanity Fair was doing a feature article on Twitter,

2 correct?

3 MS. GOODHART: Objection. Vague.

4 THE WITNESS: That's what the e-mail -- the

5 e-mail says we're doing that.

6 BY MR. DROSMAN:

7 Q. And you knew that Nick Bilton was the 8 author of that article?

9 A. Where does it say that?

10 MS. GOODHART: Objection. Lacks

11 foundation.

12 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't think I knew

13 that, and I don't think this e-mail says that.

14 BY MR. DROSMAN:

15 Q. Right. I'm just asking if you knew that at 16 this point.

17 A. No.

18 Q. Okay. Have you ever spoken to Nick Bilton?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. When did you speak to Nick Bilton?

21 A. I think so. I can't tell you for sure.

22 Here's what I'm thinking of: Gabriel used to have

23 these holiday cocktails with the press, and I think

24 I met him at one of those.

25 Q. Were you ever interviewed by Mr. Bilton in

Page 239

1 "Oh, yeah, that's that guy." But . . .

2 MS. GOODHART: Mr. Drosman, I'm getting the

3 distinct impression that there may be a filibuster4 going on here.

5 MR. DROSMAN: You're -- you're under the 6 incorrect impression. I'm asking --

7 MS. GOODHART: Okay. You keep looking 8 at --

9 MR. DROSMAN: -- questions.

10 MS. GOODHART: You keep looking at your

11 phone --

12 MR. DROSMAN: Oh.

13 MS. GOODHART: -- you keep looking at your

14 watch. I feel as though --

15 MR. DROSMAN: The reason --

16 MS. GOODHART: -- and I -- and I don't mean

17 to be critical here, but I don't feel as though

18 you're focused in on utilizing this witness's time

19 who is a third party, and he's generously agreed to

20 be here today. I don't feel as though you are using

21 the time efficiently, and I'm concerned about the

22 fact you seem to be much more focused on your e-mail

23 and your watch phone that on completing the

24 examination of this witness and allowing this third

25 party to leave.

1 connection with this Vanity Fair article?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you ever speak to Mr. Bilton about

4 anything having to do with Twitter?

MS. GOODHART: Objection. Vague.

6 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

7 BY MR. DROSMAN:

Q. Did you speak to Mr. Bilton about user

9 metrics at any point?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did you communicate in writing with

12 Mr. Bilton ever?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Your communications with Mr. Bilton were

15 all oral. Is that right?

16 MS. GOODHART: Objection. Mischaracterizes

17 the witness's testimony.

THE WITNESS: As I said, I think I met him

19 at one of those cocktail parties.

20 BY MR. DROSMAN:

21 Q. Why do you believe you met Mr. Bilton at

22 one of con -- those cocktail parties?

23 A. I just have a vague memory of it, that he

24 wrote that book, so he was like a someone I knew of.

25 I didn't read the book, but I remember thinking,

Page 240

Page 238

1 MR. DROSMAN: I'm very focused on this 2 witness's examination, and you're absolutely

3 incorrect that I'm in any way wasting his time.

4 These questions are absolutely critical to our case,

 $5\,$ and I will continue to conduct the deposition as I

6 see fit.

7 The reason I have glanced at my watch and

8 at my e-mails during the course of the last 20

 $9\,$ minutes or so is because the Court has indicated

10 that she would like to have a conference with the

11 parties, or at least the parties' counsel to discuss

12 the e-mail I sent about potential abuses during the

13 deposition. And so I want to make sure, because the

14 Court has sent us a dial-in number, that we are --

15 that we appear at that particular conference in a

16 timely fashion. I don't want to miss or be late to

17 the conference. And so I've been glancing at my

18 watch periodically so I make sure that we take a

10 haten penedically so i make our and we take a

19 break in time to make that particular conference.

MS. GOODHART: Okay. So, Counsel, as you

21 are looking at your e-mails and your watch and at

22 the same time utilizing this witness's time for

23 examination, you should take a break and advise all

24 counsel with respect to when the conference call is

25 going to be and explain why it is that you're not

In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation

Page 241

1 wasting this witness's time waiting for that call.

2 MR. DROSMAN: Oh, I don't think I owe you

3 any of those explanations. I've conducted this

- 4 deposition in a professional, thorough way, and I'll
- 5 continue to do so. We have a call in approximately
- 6 nine minutes. We can go ahead and take a break now
- 7 to prepare for it if you'd like.
- 8 MS. GOODHART: No, no. I think we should
- 9 keep going until the telephone call. This witness
- 10 is taking time out of his life -- he's a third
- 11 party -- to be here today. Let's keep going until
- 12 it is time for the call, and the witness can take a
- 13 break.
- 14 MR. DROSMAN: Okay. I just note that for
- 15 somebody who at least professes to be concerned
- 16 about wasting the witness's time, you've spent quite
- 17 a bit of time speaking on the record, and I -- I do
- 18 say that I find that very wasteful of the witness's
- 19 time, and I wish you'd --
- 20 MS. GOODHART: And I find it --
- 21 MR. DROSMAN: -- stop doing that.
- 22 MS. GOODHART: I find it very wasteful
- 23 you're having third parties come in and have you
- 24 read documents and read documents to them. I think
- 25 it is incredibly wasteful. But we can take that up
 - Page 243

- 1 foundation.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I think Gabriel knew all the
- 3 reporters covering Twitter.
- 4 BY MR. DROSMAN:
- 5 Q. As the director of communication?
- 6 MS. GOODHART: Objection. Vague.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what his title
- 8 was. Was it director of communications? I thought
- 9 it was VP of communications.
- 10 BY MR. DROSMAN:
- 11 Q. Okay. Why don't I show you what we'll mark
- 12 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 238 and 239 for
- 13 identification.
- 14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibits 238 and 239 were
- 15 marked for identification.)
- 16 MR. DROSMAN: Let the record reflect that
- 17 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 238 is metadata for a document
- 18 beginning on Bates number Twitter, underscore, Shen,
- 19 underscore, 00363183 and ending on Bates number
- 20 00363187, and the file name is indicated as "vanity
- 21 fair June issue." The custodian includes Adam
- 22 Messinger on the metadata is 238.
- 23 And Plaintiffs' Exhibit 239 consists of an
- 24 e-mail and attachment, and that bears Bates numbers
- 25 Twitter, underscore, Shen, underscore, 0036183 to

- Page 242
 1 at a later time, Mr. Drosman. Why don't you finish
- 2 your examination of the witness.
- 3 MR. DROSMAN: I'll just note for the record
- 4 that you're absolutely incorrect about that. It's
- 5 apparent that you don't understand the purpose of
- 6 the deposition if you find anything I've done today 7 wasteful.
- 8 MS. GOODHART: It is apparent you don't
- 9 know how to take a deposition.
- 10 BY MR. DROSMAN:
- 11 Q. When you spoke to Mr. Bilton at a cocktail
- 12 party, you mentioned that the cocktail party was
- 13 organized by Gabriel Stricker. Is that right?
- 14 A. Yeah. Like I said, I'm not one hundred
- 15 percent certain I met him, but if I met him it would
- 16 have been at one of those things. I think I did.
- 17 Q. And did you attend just one of these
- 18 cocktail parties organized by Mr. Stricker or
- 19 multiple ones?
- 20 A. I think I was invited to two and came to
- 21 one.
- 22 Q. Okay. And was Mr. Stricker -- did you have
- 23 the impression Mr. Stricker was friendly with --
- 24 with Mr. Bilton?
- 25 MS. GOODHART: Objection. Vague;
- 1 187.
 - Q. Let me know when you're through reviewing3 the document, please.
 - 4 A. Want me to read this article?
 - Q. You can review as much of it as you -- as
 - 6 you feel necessary, and, of course, I'll afford you
 - 7 additional time during my questions to review more
 - 8 of it if you see -- if you need that time?
 - 9 MS. GOODHART: So, Counsel, this is a very,
 - 10 very lengthy article, and it would be a complete
 - 11 waste of the witness's time. Again, I'm going to
 - 12 ask you to please be cognizant of the fact that you
 - 13 are asking third parties to come and sit for
 - 14 depositions where you asking them to read documents.
 - 15 BY MR. DROSMAN:
 - 16 Q. If you'd prefer, I can just begin asking
 - 17 you questions without giving you a chance to review
 - 18 the document, sir. I'm happy to do that. Would you
 - 19 like the opportunity to review it, or would you
 - 20 prefer that I ask you questions without an
 - 21 opportunity to review?
 - 22 MS. GOODHART: Well, why don't you tell
 - 23 us --
 - 24 MR. DROSMAN: Well, what I'm -- I'm asking
 - 25 the witness a question.

Page 244

Page 245

Q. Can you answer that question?

MS. GOODHART: That's not fair. That's

- 3 harassing. I am going to object. You are harassing
- 4 the witness.

1

- 5 MR. DROSMAN: Objection. Noted.
- 6 Q. Sir, can you answer that question? Do you
- 7 want time to review the document or would you prefer
- 8 that I ask questions now?
- 9 A. Does the time I spend reading against this
- 10 count against your time?
- 11 Q. It does.
- 12 A. Great. I'll read this.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- MR. DROSMAN: We're going to go ahead and
- 15 take a break for a call with the Court, so we'll go
- 16 off the record.
- 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
- 18 the time is 3:27 p.m.
- 19 (Recess taken.)
- 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record
- 21 at 3:51 p.m.
- 22 BY MR. DROSMAN:
- 23 Q. And, Mr. Messinger, you understand that
- 24 you're still under oath?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

- Page 247
- 2 Q. Could you take a look at Plaintiffs'
- 3 Exhibit 230.

A. I do.

- 4 A. Okay.
- Q. Plaintiffs' Exhibit 230 contains the
- 6 attachment beginning on page 840 entitled "Growth
- 7 Handbook." Do you see that?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. And you're listed as one of the editors of
- 10 the growth handbook, right?
- 11 A. I am listed in that way, yes.
- 12 Q. Is this the document that refreshed your
- 13 recollection that you were reviewed in preparation
- 14 for your deposition?
- 15 A. I'm not sure.
- 16 Q. Okay. We can turn now back to Plaintiffs'
- 17 Exhibit 239 for identification. That's the
- 18 e-mail -- the cover e-mail plus the Vanity Fair
- 19 article. And I'm only going to direct you to two
- 20 paragraphs in that article -- well, three -- three
- 21 paragraphs in the article. Of course, I'll afford
- 22 you any time that you'd like after I ask a question
- 23 to review the document or the surrounding
- 24 paragraphs.
- 25 So if you would prefer that I continue my

- Page 246 Q. When we broke, I believe you were reading
- 2 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 239. Is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. If you could just put that aside for a
- 5 moment, I'm just going to ask you a few questions.
- 6 Then we'll go back to 239. Okay.
- 7 Can you please provide me with your
- 8 residence address for the record?
- 11 Q. Okay. And when we talked about your
- 12 preparation for deposition, you mentioned that you
- 13 prepared in person on two separate days, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The first day that you prepared in person,
- 16 where did you meet?
- 17 A. At the Cooley office, 101 California.
- 18 Q. Okay. And the second day that you prepared
- 19 in person, where did you meet?
- 20 A. The same.

21

- Q. Okay. And you recall that I asked you
- 22 whether a certain document that I showed you
- 23 refreshed -- was the document that refreshed your
- 24 recollection during your preparation. Do you
- 25 remember that question?

- Page 248
- 1 examination now, I'm happy to do that. If you'd2 rather read the document, that's up to you.
- 3 A. I'll read it --
- 4 (Reporter requests clarification.)
- 5 THE WITNESS: I'll read it in its totality,
- 6 please.
- 7 MS. GOODHART: And I'd like to mark the
- 8 entire transcript "attorneys' eyes only" pursuant to
- 9 the protective order.
- 10 BY MR. DROSMAN:
- 11 Q. Have you had a chance to read the document?
- 12 A. Yep.
- 13 Q. Okay. Great. Directing your attention to
- 14 the first page of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 239, see it's
- 15 an e-mail from Alexandra Valasek?
- 16 A. I see that name on the "from" line, yes.
- 17 Q. Do you know who that is?
- 18 A. No. No, I don't recall who that is.
- 19 Q. She's -- all right. Her signature block
- 20 below indicates that she's from the communications
- 21 department at Twitter. You see that?
- 22 A. I do
- 23 Q. That doesn't jog your memory at all?
- 24 A. Nope.
- 25 Q. Okay. And then it -- the e-mail was sent

Adam Messinger

In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation

	Page 257		Page 258
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	I, JOHNNA PIPER, a Certified Shorthand	2	Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the	3	Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell	4	Job No.: 10051935
5	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the	5	
6	truth in the within-entitled cause;	6	I, ADAM MESSINGER, hereby certify
7	That said deposition was taken in shorthand	7	under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
8	by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place	8	that the foregoing is true and correct.
9	therein stated, and that the testimony of the said	9	Executed this day of
10	witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by		
11	computer, under my direction and supervision;	10	, 2019, at
12	That before completion of the deposition,	11	
13	review of the transcript [X] was [] was not	12	
14	requested. If requested, any changes made by the	13	
15	deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the	14	ADAM MESSINGER
16	period allowed are appended hereto.	15	
17	I further certify that I am not of counsel	16	NOTARIZATION (If Required)
18	or attorney for either or any of the parties to the	17	State of
19	said deposition, nor in any way interested in the	18	County of
20	event of this cause, and that I am not related to	19	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on
21	any of the parties thereto.	20	this day of, 20,
22	DATED: FEBRUARY 18TH, 2019	21	by, proved to me on the
23	DATED. PEDICOART TOTH, 2017	22	basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person
24	Min	23	who appeared before me.
24	J. Company	24	Signature: (Seal)
25	JOHNNA PIPER, CSR NO. 11268	25	(5541)
23	OUMNA FIFER, CDR NO. 11200	25	
	Page 259		Page 260
	•		
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
1 2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation	2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason
2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger	2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019	2 3 4	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
3	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935	2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to
2	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.	2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line to Page Line Reason
3 4	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts.	2 3 4 5 6	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
3 4	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5 6	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to From to From to From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Subject to the above changes, I certify that the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct No changes have been made. I certify that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct No changes have been made. I certify that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Case Name: In re Twitter Inc. Securities Litigation Name of Witness: Adam Messinger Date of Deposition: 02/13/2019 Job No.: 10051935 Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From Page Line Reason From to Page Line Reason From From to Page Line Reason From Subject to the above changes, I certify that the transcript is true and correct No changes have been made. I certify that the